

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,564	06/04/2001	Mark Josephus Lucien Maria Van Dommelen	BE000011 4219		
24737	7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROF	LEVI, DAMEON E			
P.O. BOX 300	DI FMANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BRIARCLIFI	MANOK, NT 10310	2841			
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					lbv		
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/873,564		VAN DOMMELEN ET	AL.		
		Examiner	-	Art Unit			
		Dameon E l		2841			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	October 2004.					
· <u> </u>		nis action is no	n-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex/parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	,					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	` '		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	)8) ·	I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other:	ite	52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/873,564

Art Unit: 2841

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verschueren US Patent 5612285 in view of Whitman et al US Patent 5723937.

**Regarding claim 1,** Verschueren discloses a high pressure discharge lamp comprising: a discharge vessel which is enveloped with clearance by an outer bulb provided with a lamp cap, which outer bulb is translucent, characterized in that the outer bulb is substantially tubular in shape (for example, see elements 3,1,2, Fig 1).

Verschueren does not disclose that the outer bulb is provided with a light-scattering layer.

Whitman et al discloses a discharge lamp wherein the outer bulb is provided with a light-scattering layer (for example, see element 26, Figs 1(a) - 3, see column 1, line 45-column 7, line 25)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a light-scattering layer on the outer bulb as taught by Whitman et al in the discharge lamp assembly of Verschueren for the purpose of diffusing the light source image inside the envelope and thereby providing a soft, decorative light effect (cited by Whitman et al column 2, lines 5-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/873,564

Art Unit: 2841

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Verschueren US Patent 5612285 in view of Whitman et al US Patent 5723937 and

further in view of Kinczel et al US Patent 5004948 and Thornton US Patent

4315193

Regarding claim 3, Verschueren and Whitman et al disclose the instant claimed invention except forming an electrostatic coating by using a light scattering layer. Both Thornton and Kinczel et al disclose electrostatic coating processes for light scattering layers (for example, see Thornton column 3, line 67- column 4, line 2, see Kinczel et al column 7, line 55 – column 8, line 16).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the electrostatic coating processes for the light scattering layer as taught by Thornton and Kinczel in the lamp assembly as taught by Verschueren and Whitman et al as such processes are old in the art (see Thornton, Kinczel et al)

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Verschueren US Patent 5612285 in view of Whitman et al US Patent 5723937 and

further in view of Carleton US Patent 5008853

**Regarding claim 4**, Verschueren and Whitman et al disclose the instant claimed invention except characterized in that the outer bulb is internally provided with the light-scattering layer.

Art Unit: 2841

Carleton discloses a lamp characterized in that the outer bulb is internally provided with the light- scattering layer(for example, see column 1, lines 24-30).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the light scattering layer internally of the bulb as taught by Carleton in the lamp as taught by Verschueren and Whitman et al as such measures are well known in the art(see Carleton column 1, lines 24-30).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3,and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dameon E Levi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2105. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:00 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Dameon E Levi

Examiner Art Unit 2841 Page 5